•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/661,376	HAKASALO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vineeta S. Panwalkar	2611
All Participants:	Status of Application:	:
(1) Vineeta S. Panwalkar.	(3)	
(2) Keith Obert.	(4)	:
Date of Interview: 7 December 2007	Time:	.:
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed:		
4		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicant's representative, Mr. Obert, agreed to an examiner's a application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a ware record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
		,
Janvalkar Panwalkar		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		